MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF TARRANT COUNTY WATER CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NUMBER ONE HELD ON THE 28TH DAY OF MAY, 1980 AT 9:00 A. M.

The call of the roll disclosed the presence or absence of Directors as follows:

PRESENT

ABSENT

Wayne E.Newton
J. Oliver Shannon
Preston M. Geren
Robert D. Alexander

C. Victor Thornton

Also present were Messrs. John M. Scott, General Counsel for the District and Ben Hickey, General Manager of the District

Director Newton acted in his capacity as President and Director Alexander acted in his capacity as Secretary, whereupon proceedings were had and done as follows:

1.

On motion duly made and seconded, and with assurance from management that all requirements of law relating to the "open meeting" law had been met, the minutes of the meeting held May 5, 1980 were read and approved by the Directors and it was accordingly ordered that such minutes be placed in the permanent files of the District.

2.

Director Thornton moved and the motion was seconded by Director Shannon and unanimously approved that the following list of vouchers be approved and paid: voucher-checks #24115 thru #24248 inclusive, Maintenance Fund, in the amount of \$735,889.36; voucher-checks #7749 thru #7822 inclusive, Revenue Fund, in the amount of

\$612,902.54; voucher-checks #4618 thru #4629 inclusive, Cedar Creek Project-Constructin Fund, in the amount of \$2,055,188.82; voucher-checks #170 thru #187 inclusive, Richland-Chambers Project-Construction Fund, in the amount of \$593,651.76; and voucher-check #672 and #673, Interest and Sinking Fund, in the amount of \$3,984.42.

3.

The following letter was presented to the Directors, to

wit:

May 23, 1980 Mr. Ben F. Hickey, General Manager Tarrant County Water Control and Improvmeent District No. 1 P. O. Box 4508 Fort Worth, Texas 76106

RE: Control Valve
Lake Arlington Control Station

Dear Mr. Hickey:

Pursuant to the Notice to Bidders and requests for Proposals to furnish an additional motor operated control valve for the Lake Arlington Control Station, two proposals were received as follows:

| Bidder | Amount | <u>Time</u> |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Jersey Equipment Co. | \$21,856.00 | 210 Days |
| Allis-Chalmers | \$24,400.00 | 196 Days |

We have reviewed the proposals and examined the equipment being offered.

We recommend that you accept the proposal of Jersey Equipment Company and give them a purchase order for the 12-inch motor operated, Willamette List 26 Ball Valve in accordance with the terms of their Proposal dated February 27, 1980, and the applicable requirements of the specifications, sheets 8-1, 8-2 and 8-3.

Yours very truly,

FREESE AND NICHOLS, INC.

W. E. Clements, P.E.

Following a detailed explanation of the need, and use of

the 12-inch valve, by management, Director Alexander moved, seonded by Director Geren and unanimously approved, that with recommendation of Management of the District authorization be now granted to accept the low bid of Jersey Equipment Company in the amount of \$21,856.00 as set forth in their bid proposal.

4 .

The following letter was presented to the Directors for their consideration, to wit:

May 13, 1980
Ben Hickey
Executive Director
Tarrant County Water Control
Improvement District Number One
800 East Northside Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Dear Ben:

This is to advise you that the Tarrant County Commissioner's Court has passed an order allowing the \$3,000.00 exemption for handicapped homeowners under the age of 65. This exemption is to be allowed off of the appraised value and not the assessed value. This means that the most exemption a handicapped person could get would be \$900.00 off of the assessed value of their home.

As of April 30th, the cut off date for application of this exemption, only 268 taxpayers had applied for the exemption. Based on your latest tax rate, the most any one handicapped owner would get would be very minimal. Our estimate based upon 268 applications means that the cost to the Water Control District would only be approximately \$482.00.

I hope that your Honorable Board will give favorable consideration to allowing this exemption because it would certainly simplify our tax roll compiling and collection procedures.

Sincerely,

Jack E. Benson County Auditor

Following a general discussion, Director Geren moved, seconded by Director Alexander and unanimously approved, that the property subject to taxation within the District by handicapped home-

owners under the age of 65 be allowed a three (\$3,000.00) thousand dollars exemption off of the appraised value upon approvi of legal counsel for the District.

5.

President Newton presented to the Directors the Annual Financial Report for the year 1979, certified to by Arthur Young & Company, which was ordered accepted and placed in the District's Records.

6.

President Newton stated that at the last meeting of the Board of Directors management of the District was authorized to secure, and present to the Directors for consideration, bid proposals for certain data required in securing a "404 Permit" from the Corps of Engineers for the Richland Creek Project; and called upon Mr. Woody Frossard who presented the following memo, to wit:

May 28, 1980
"I have requested and received proposals from the following companies:

Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. Environment Consultants, Inc. Esosystems Management Petra Inc.

After reviewing each proposal, I recommended that we award Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. the Environmental Data Contract.

My reasons are as follows: I compared each proposal between 51 diffferent parameters. Espey, Huston and Associates provides 46 of these, Petra Inc. would provide 34 of these. Environment Consultants, Inc. would provide 24, and Esosystems Management would provide 24 also. These different parameters cover all areas that I think are necessary to meet the Corps of Engineers requirements for the 404 permit. Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. bid was also the lowest at \$56,000. The others were as follows: Environment Consultants, Inc. \$69,960.00, Ecosystems Management \$61,722.00 and Petra Inc. \$60,664.00.

I have reviewed each company's background and examples of their work, Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. background is excellent

and their work is very professional."

Following a review of the proposal submitted and with recommendation of management of the District, Director Alexander moved, seconded by Director Geren and unanimously approved, that the proposal as submitted by Epsey, Huston and Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$56,000.00 be accepted and incorporated in an Agreement prepared by General Counsel for the District.

7.

There being no further business before the Board of Directors, the meeting adjourned.

Secretary