MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF TARRANT COUNTY WATER CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NUMBER ONE HELD ON THE 31ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 1967 AT 1:30 P. M.

The call of the roll disclosed the presence or absence of Directors as follows:

## PRESENT

Joe B. Hogsett Lacy Boggess Wayne E. Newton Clyde A. Penry Edward R. Hudson

Also present were Messrs. John M. Scott, General Counsel for the District and Ben Hickey, General Manager of the District.

Director Hogsett acted in his capacity as President, and Director Newton acted in his capacity as Secretary, whereupon proceedings were had and done as follows:

1.

On motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of the meetings held October 16th and 24th, 1967 were read and approved by the Directors and it was accordingly ordered that such minutes be placed in the permanent files of the District.

2.

On motion of Director Penry, seconded by Director Hudson, voucher-checks #5342 thru #5372 inclusive, Maintenance Fund; voucher-checks #1005 thru #1024 inclusive, Revenue Fund; voucher-checks #1632 thru #1638 inclusive, Construction Fund and voucher-check #238 Interest and Sinking Fund were approved and ordered paid upon receiving the approval and verification of Mr. J. M. Williams, County Auditor, who

by virtue of the Statutes is the Auditor of this District as well.
All Directors voted age thereon.

3.

President Hogsett called upon Mr. Henry Tyler, representing the Phillips Petroleum Company, to prosent his request and proposal regarding certain seismic work proposed to be done on the Cedar Creek Reservoir; whereon Mr. Tyler presented maps, data and pertinent information relating to the technical operations for the use of small chamber airguns as a source of energy (rather than dynamite or other explosive) for the seismic work as shown on the map of the lake exhibited.

Following a general discussion, Director Hudson made a motion, seconded by Director Penry, that upon approval by Mr. Marvin C. Nichols, Consulting Engineer for the District, that a letter be prepared by Mr. John M. Scott, General Counsel, authorizing permission to enter upon the Cedar Creek Reservoir under certain terms and conditions as to be set forth in the letter. Upon a vote taken all Directors voted aye and it was so ordered.

4.

President Hogsett presented bid proposals for the Rogers
Road Bridge - Program D - Clear Fork as received by the District on
October 26, 1967, also the following letter, to wit:

Mr. Ben Hickey
Tarrant County Water Control and
Improvement District No. 1
508 Throckmorton Street
Fort Worth, Texas

Re: Rogers Road Bridge
Bids Received October 26, 1967

Dear Mr. Hickey:

The following is a summary of the tabulation of bids received on the above referenced project on October 26, 1967:

	${\it Bidder}$	Amount	$\underline{\mathit{Time}}$
1.	Bridge Builders, Inc. P. O. Box 6046 Dallas, Texas	\$130,891.09	110 C.D.
2.	W. I. Foreman 6908 Park Place Fort Worth, Texas	\$132,148.00	225 C.D.
3.	Kidwell Construction Co. 5641 Yale Dallas, 6, Texas	\$135,538.36	180 C.D.
4.	Brown & Blakney P. O. Box 12,064 Fort Worth, Texas	\$147,103.50	250 C.D.
5.	Texas Bitulithic Co. P. O. Box 1807 Fort Worth, Texas	\$147,097.65	275 C.D.

You will note that the low bidder is Bridge Builders, Inc., in the amount of \$130,891.09. You will also note that his estimated completion time is considerably less than the other bidders. We questioned Mr. Russell Smith in regard to this, and his reply was that he understood the time requirements of the specifications, and it is actually his intention to have the new bridge ready for traffic in 110 days after Work Orders. The removal of the old bridge would probably be done after the 110 day period. We have checked with the Texas Highway Department and find that Bridge Builders, Inc. are qualified to bid large jobs for the Highway Department and that the work which they have done for the Highway Department in this area has been of a satisfactory quality. In comparing the low bid submitted, with the money available for this project, you will recall that there are two items which are reimbursable by the City of Fort Worth for upgrading the bridge and approaches. The money available for the project then, consists of three items as follows: Bond money, \$68,530.00, upgrading approaches (reimbursable by the City of Fort Worth) \$10,176.00, upgrading the bridge (reimbursable by the City of Fort Worth) \$55,482.00 total \$134,188.00. The funds available for this project are, therefore \$134,188.00, inclusive of engineering, as compared to the low bid \$130,891.09.

It is recommended that this contract be awarded to Bridge Builders, Inc. as having submitted the lowest and best bid in the

total amount of \$130,891.09.

Very truly yours,

FREESE, NICHOLS AND ENDRESS

/s/ Joe B. Mapes

Joe B. Mapes, P.E.

JBM:aes cc: W. R. Bridwell

Following a discussion of the items involved, and upon recommendation of management of the District, Director Penry made the motion, seconded by Director Hudson, that the bid proposal as presented by Bridge Builders, Inc. and set forth in the letter from Freese, Nichols and Endress as above listed be accepted by the District; whereon all Directors voted age and it was so ordered.

5.

Mr. Ben Hickey, General Manager, reviewed the status of the proposed raising of Lake Bridgeport to increase the storage capacity from elevation 826 to elevation 840 feet above mean sea level, and in that connection related the plans of the Wise County Water Supply District to file an Application with the Texas Water Rights Commission to amend their Permit No. CP-21 from the now authorized right to divert not to exceed 1,200 acre feet per annum, to that of 2,000 acre feet per annum for municipal use. Mr. Hickey reviewed also the contract between the District and Wise County Water Supply District for the purchase of raw water and recommended that this District by proper resolution support and recommend the proposed increase for the Wise County Water Supply District; whereon Director Hudson moved that Counsel for the District prepare a proper Resolution as recommended

by Mr. Hickey. Mr. Newton seconded this motion with all Directors voting aye.

6.

There being no further business before the Board of Directors, the meeting adjourned.

-5-